

Table of Contents

Wind, Material, Thickness & Weights2

Roof Evaluation/Design & Appendix F4

Anchor Bolt Details.....6

Nozzle Flexibility & Load Results.....8

Anchor Chair Calculations.....17

Fluid Height Calculations.....19

Wind Calculations.....20

Internal Pressure Calculations.....22

API-579 Calcs Flaw#:[1 of 1].....23

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

2

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:38pm

API-650 12th Edition, Addendum 2, January 2016

Wind Information:

Wind Velocity	33.000 M./sec.
Velocity Factor	0.37842
Wind Pressure	0.80031 KPa
Area Exposed to Wind	16.290 m.^2
Sect 5.9.7.1 Wind Moment on Tank	30351. N.m.
Wind Shear Force Acting on Tank	13037. N.
Wind Girder Height Reduction Factor	1.0000

Material Property Information:

The following material properties have been modified in accordance with API-650 (and API-653 where applicable).

Course Number	Material Name	Design Stress (Sd or Sts) (KPa)	Test Stress (St) (KPa)	App M Reduction
1	A-516,55	0.13789E+06	0.15513E+06	1.0000
2	A-516,55	0.13789E+06	0.15513E+06	1.0000

Shell Course Thickness Data - One Foot Method

Course #	Thickness Values for Three Cases			Min. Temp (deg C)	Status Pass/Fail
	Design (mm.)	Test (mm.)	User (mm.)		
1	6.0000	6.0000	6.0000	-40.0	PASS
2	5.0000	5.0000	6.0000	-40.0	PASS

Note, "ANALYSIS" mode has been activated. From this point on, the user specified thicknesses will be used for all calculations. The above values for DESIGN and TEST thicknesses are for reference purposes only.

Shell Course Allowed Fluid Heights:

Course	Fluid Heights for Three Cases		
	Design (m.)	Test (m.)	Required (m.)
1	32.036	54.566	4.1698
2	32.036	54.566	1.9198

Maximum Allowed Fluid Height of Entire Tank For:

Design Thickness Case	32.036 m.
Test Thickness Case	54.566 m.
Required fluid height	4.1698 m.

Base Plate Details - Shell Weight & Center of Gravity:

Required Annular Base Plate Thickness	6.0000 mm.
(from API-650 Table 5-1 plus corrosion)	

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

3

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28,2021 Time: 1:38pm

Annular Baseplate thickness user specified	8.0000 mm.
Annular Base Plate Width per 5.5.2	791.50 mm.
(2 + ThkCrsl + max[24, (2tb * sqrt(Fy/2YHG))])	

Note:

The user specified baseplate thickness was used to compute the weight of the annular baseplate.

Weight of Shell + Nozzles	22853. N.
Total Weight of all Nozzles	0.00000 N.
Center of Gravity (Shell+Nozzles)	2.2500 m.

Wind Overturning Stability Check:

Corroded Shell Weight + %Roof - Uplift	427.24 N.
Line Load	10.316 N./cm.
Moment due to wind (hor+ver) press	20710. N.m.
Moment due to wind (hor) press	11558. N.m.
Moment due to internal pressure	0.11786E+06 N.m.
Moment due to tank liquid	19852. N.m.
Moment due to shell & roof	39995. N.m.

Wind Girder Information:

For "open top" tanks ...	
Required Section Modulus, Top Girder	1207.2 mm.^3
Table 5-20 Detail, B value	a 0.00 mm.
Table 5-20 Shape name (if applicable).....	2.5x2.5x.25

Maximum Height of Unstiffened Shell	336.83 m.
---	-----------

Wind Girder - Transformed Section Method

Number of Intermediate Girders Req'd	0
--	---

Weight Summary:

Shell (Corroded)	22853. N.
Shell (Non-Corroded)	22853. N.
Annular Base Plate	4303. N.
Bottom Plate	4705. N.
Nozzles	0. N.
Roof Plates	4512. N.
Roof Framing/Structure	0. N.
Shell Attachments	0. N.
Shell + Roof Insulation	0. N.
Operating Fluid	528548. N.
Water Weight	422915. N.
Operating Weight (Non-Corroded)	564922. N.
Test Weight (Non-Corroded, Filled to Top).....	459289. N.
Empty Weight (Non-Corroded)	36374. N.

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

4

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:38pm

*API-650 12th Edition, Addendum 2, January 2016***TANK - Roof Design/ Analysis Data**

Corroded Roof Plate Thickness as given in input	5.99999 mm.
New Roof Plate Thickness as given in input	5.99999 mm.

SELF-SUPPORTING CONE ROOF	
Roof thickness per 5.10.5, Cone Roof.....	5.0000 mm.

Total weight of Roof Plates	4512.3 N.
-----------------------------------	-----------

Based on user input thickness

User Specified Design Roof Load	0.46188 KPa
---------------------------------------	-------------

including user plate thickness

Computed Design Roof Load	0.46188 KPa
---------------------------------	-------------

*including user plate thickness***Roof Evaluations - Appendix F**

Uplift force due to internal pressure.....	67346. N.
Total weight resisting uplift	27366. N.

Max Design Pressure, roof/shell joint.....	31.795 in of H2O
Max Design Pressure, roof/shell joint.....	7.9157 KPa
(According to F.4.1)	

Compression Ring Failure Pressure	49.740 in of H2O
Compression Ring Failure Pressure	12.384 KPa
(According to F.6)	

NOTE, this tank requires an Anchored Base !!!	
Per F.7, allowed pressure is:	17.236 KPa

Required Compression Areas, Roof/Shell Junction	
Area as per Section F.5	438.51 mm.^2
Area as per Section 5.10.5, Cone Roof.....	32.320 mm.^2

Frangible Roof-to-Shell Junction Area Limit:	
Area as per Section 5.10.2.6	99.732 mm.^2

Top Angle Requirement Per 5.1.5.9.e	2x2x3/16
(This is a minimum angle size based solely on the tank diameter. Other criteria may require a larger section.)	

API 650 Appendix F Calculations:

Nominal Tank Weight
 = Shell Weight + Roof Weight + Framing Weight + Attachment Weight
 = 5137.9 + 1014.4 + .0 + .0
 = 6152.4 lb. [27365.7 N.]

Note: The uplift was greater than the total weight.

Appendix M Yield Stress reduction factor, M.3.6 [Mfact]:
 = Yield Reduction Factor * Fy / Fyamb
 = 1.000 * 30000.0/30000.0
 = 1.000 Must be less than or equal to 1.0

Note: Using the new roof weight in Appendix F calcs. There was no corrosion allowance specified on the roof plates.

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

5

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:38pm

Required Area of the Roof/Shell Junction per F.5.1 [A]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= D^2(\pi - 0.245 \cdot DLR/D^2) / (0.962 \cdot F_y \cdot M_{fact}(\tan(\theta))) \\ &= 11.5^2(28.117 - 0.245 \cdot 1014/11.5^2) / (0.962 \cdot 30000.0 \cdot 1.000(\tan(10.00))) \\ &= .680 \text{ in.}^2 \quad [438.514 \text{ mm.}^2] \end{aligned}$$

Maximum Frangible Roof Area 5.10.2.6 [A]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= DL_s / (2 \cdot \pi \cdot F_y \cdot M_{fact} \cdot \tan(\theta)) \\ &= 5137.9 / (2 \cdot \pi \cdot 30000.0 \cdot 1.000 \cdot \tan(10.00)) \\ &= .155 \text{ in.}^2 \quad [99.732 \text{ mm.}^2] \end{aligned}$$

Design Pressure per F.4.1 [P]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (0.962 \cdot A \cdot F_y \cdot M_{fact} \cdot \tan(\theta)) / (D^2) + 0.245 \cdot DLR/D^2 \\ &= (0.962 \cdot .775 \cdot 30000.0 \cdot 1.000 \cdot \tan(10.00)) / (11.5^2) + 0.245 \cdot 1014/11.5^2 \\ &= 31.795 \text{ in. of water} \quad [807.582 \text{ mm. of water}] \\ &= 1.148 \text{ psi} \quad [7.916 \text{ KPa}] \end{aligned}$$

Note: Design Pressure was less than maximum computed per F.4.1

Note: The tank is considered anchored since anchor bolts are specified, therefore the Appendix F.4.2 calculations are not required.

Calculated failure Pressure from F.6 [Pf]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1.6 \cdot P - (0.147 \cdot DLR)/D^2 \\ &= 1.6 \cdot 31.795 - (0.147 \cdot 1014)/11.5^2 \\ &= 49.740 \text{ in. of water} \quad [1263.406 \text{ mm. of water}] \end{aligned}$$

TANK(TM) Intergraph CADWorx & Analysis Solutions, Inc. 2017

API-650 12th Edition, Addendum 2, January 2016

Anchor Bolt Results - Section 5.12

Load Case	Uplift (N.)	Allowable Bolt Stress (KPa)	Load/Bolt (N.)
Design Pressure	48716.7	103419.	3044
Test Pressure	39915.3	137892.	2494
Design Press + Wind Load	18365.0	137892.	1147

Anchor Bolt Results - Section 5.12

Load Case	Number of Bolts	Bolt Diameter (mm.)	Bolt Stress (KPa)
Design Pressure	16	31.750	7791
Test Pressure	16	31.750	6383
Design Press + Wind Load	16	31.750	2937

Final Anchor Bolt Spacing (m.) 0.69

Specified number of user bolts is sufficient.

Specified user bolt diameter is sufficient.

Bolt Load Calculations per API 650 Table 5.21b:

Compute weight per table 5.21b [W1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Corr. Shell Wght} + \text{Attachment Wght} + (\text{Corr. Roof Wght} + \text{Ins.}) * \% \\
 &= 5137.9 + .0 + (1014.4 + .0) * 1.00 \\
 &= 6152.4 \text{ lb. } [27365.7 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Compute weight per table 5.21b [W2]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\text{Corr. Shell Wght} + \text{Ins. Wght}) + \text{Att. Wght} + (\text{Roof Wght} + \text{Fram Wght} + \text{Ins.}) * \% \\
 &= (5137.9) + .0 + (1014.4 + .0 + .0) * 1.00 \\
 &= 6152.4 \text{ lb. } [27365.7 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Compute weight per table 5.21b [W3]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Nom. Shell Wght} + \text{Attachment Wght} + (\text{Nom. Roof Wght} + \text{Ins. Wght}) * \% \\
 &= 5137.9 + .0 + (1014.4 + .0) * 1.00 \\
 &= 6152.4 \text{ lb. } [27365.7 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Net Uplift due to Design Pressure:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [P_i * D^2 * 4.08] - W_1 \\
 &= [31.795 * 11.5^2 * 4.08] - 6152.4 \\
 &= 10952.5 \text{ lb. } [48716.7 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Net Uplift due to Test Pressure:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [P_t * D^2 * 4.08] - W_3 \\
 &= [28.117 * 11.5^2 * 4.08] - 6152.4 \\
 &= 8973.8 \text{ lb. } [39915.3 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that P and Pt above are in inches of water.

Net Uplift due to Wind Pressure:

$$= P_{WR} * D^2 * 4.08 + [4 * MWS/D] - W_2$$

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

7

Licensee: SPLM Licensee**Job: CAUSTIC SODA****Date: May 28, 2021****Time: 1:38pm**

$$= 2.183 * 11.5^2 * 4.08 + [4 * 8524/11.5] - 6152.4$$
$$= -2008.4 \text{ lb.} \quad [-8933.3 \text{ N.}]$$

Net Uplift due to Design Pressure + Wind:

$$= [F_p(P + PWR)D^2 * 4.08] + [4 * MWS/D] - W_1$$
$$= [.40(31.795 + 2.18)11.5^2 * 4.08] + [4 * 8524/11.5] - 6152.4$$
$$= 4128.8 \text{ lb.} \quad [18365.0 \text{ N.}]$$

Note:

The Frangibility case was not analyzed because the design pressure was zero or the frangibility requirements in API 650 paragraph 5.10.2.6 were not met.

Initial Bolt Design for each Load Case (mm., KPa & mm.²):

Anchor Bolt Database: TEMA-Imperial

Case	Number	Diameter	Stress	Bolt Area
Design Pressure	16	31.750	7791.4	390.8018
Test Pressure	16	31.750	6383.7	390.8018
Design Press + Wind Load	16	31.750	2937.1	390.8018

Final Bolt Design for each Load Case (mm., KPa & mm.²):

Case	Number	Diameter	Stress	Bolt Area
Design Pressure	16	31.750	7791.4	390.8018
Test Pressure	16	31.750	6383.7	390.8018
Design Press + Wind Load	16	31.750	2937.1	390.8018

TANK(TM) Intergraph CADWorx & Analysis Solutions, Inc. 2017

API-650 12th Edition, Addendum 2, January 2016

Nozzle Flexibilities & Loads - Appendix P:

Load Condition		Design	Test	User
Nozzle: 1				
Radial Stiffness (N./cm.)	)	0.51254E+06	0.51254E+06	0.51254E+06
Long. Bending Stiff(N.m./deg)	)	0.26069E+06	0.26069E+06	0.26069E+06
Circ. Bending Stiff(N.m./deg)	)	0.23002E+06	0.23002E+06	0.23002E+06
Radial Deflection (mm.)	)	0.66316E-01	0.66316E-01	0.66316E-01
Long. Rotation (deg.)	)	0.11160E-02	0.11160E-02	0.11160E-02
Radial Force Multp (1/N.)	)	0.71700E-04	0.71700E-04	0.71700E-04
Long. Moment Multp (1/N.m.)	)	0.18209E-04	0.18209E-04	0.18209E-04
Circ. Moment Multp (1/N.m.)	)	0.23899E-04	0.23899E-04	0.23899E-04
Radial Force Limit (N.)	)	1394.7	1394.7	1394.7
+Long. Moment Limit(N.m.)	)	5491.9	5491.9	5491.9
-Long. Moment Limit(N.m.)	)	-5491.9	-5491.9	-5491.9
Circ. Moment Limit (N.m.)	)	4184.3	4184.3	4184.3

Nozzle Stiffness Calculations:

Design Case for Nozzle, 1 per Appendix P:

Nozzle stiffness coefficients per P.2.4:

Outside radius of nozzle [a]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= D / 2 \\
 &= 23.6/2 \\
 &= 11.8 \text{ in. } [600.0 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Nominal tank radius [R]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Nominal tank diameter} / 2 \\
 &= 137.8/2 \\
 &= 68.9 \text{ in. } [3500.0 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

L / 2a coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= L / 2 * a \\
 &= 23.622/2 * 11.811 \\
 &= 1.0
 \end{aligned}$$

As $L/2a \leq 1.0$, use first set of curves.

a / R coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= a / R \\
 &= 11.8/68.898 \\
 &= .171
 \end{aligned}$$

R / t coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= R / t \\
 &= 68.898/.472 \\
 &= 145.8
 \end{aligned}$$

From figure P-2A [KR/E(2a)]:

$$= 0.420E-03$$

From figure P-2B [KL/E(2a)^3]:

$$= 0.340E-03$$

From figure P-2C $[KC/E(2a)^3]$:

$$= 0.300E-03$$

Stiffness coefficient for the radial thrust load per P.2.9.2.1 [KR]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * 2 * a \\ &= 0.420E-03 * 29500000 * 2 * 11.811 \\ &= 0.29268E+06 \text{ lbf./in.} \quad [0.51254E+06 \text{ N./cm.}] \end{aligned}$$

Stiffness coefficient for the longitudinal moment per P.2.9.2.1 [KL]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * (2.0 * a)^3 \\ &= 0.340E-03 * 29500000 * (2.0 * 11.811)^3 \\ &= 0.23074E+07 \text{ in. lbf./deg} \quad [0.31285E+07 \text{ N.m./deg}] \end{aligned}$$

Stiffness coefficient for the circumferential moment per P.2.9.2.1 [KC]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * (2 * a)^3 \\ &= 0.300E-03 * 29500000 * (2 * 11.811)^3 \\ &= 0.20360E+07 \text{ in. lbf./deg} \quad [0.27604E+07 \text{ N.m./deg}] \end{aligned}$$

Characteristic parameter per P.2.3 [beta]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1.285 / (Rt)^{0.5} \\ &= 1.285 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5} \\ &= 0.22523E+00 \text{ 1/in.} \quad [0.88674E-02 \text{ 1/mm.}] \end{aligned}$$

Unrestrained radial growth of the shell per P.2.5.1 [W]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (0.036 * G * H * R^2) / Et \\ &\quad * [1 - (e^{-(\beta * L)}) * \cos(\beta * L) - (L/H)] \\ &\quad + \alpha * R * \Delta T \\ &= (0.036 * 1.520 * 164.167 * 68.898^2) / 29500000 * .472 \\ &\quad * [1 - (e^{-(.225 * 23.622)}) * \cos(.225 * 23.622) - (23.622 / 164.17)] \\ &\quad + 0.000E+00 * 68.898 * .000 \\ &= .00261 \text{ in.} \quad [.06632 \text{ mm.}] \end{aligned}$$

Unrestrained shell rotation of the shell per P.2.5.2 [theta]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (0.036 * G * H * R^2) / E * t \\ &\quad * [(1/H) - (\beta * e^{-(\beta * L)}) \\ &\quad * [\cos(\beta * L) + \sin(\beta * L)]] \\ &= (0.036 * 1.520 * 164.167 * 68.898^2) / 29500000 * .472 \\ &\quad * [(1/164.167) - (.225 * e^{-(.225 * 23.622)}) \\ &\quad * [\cos(.225 * 23.622) + \sin(.225 * 23.622)]] \\ &= 0.11160E-02 \text{ deg} \end{aligned}$$

Value of lamda per P.2.3 [lamda]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= a / (Rt)^{0.5} \\ &= 11.811 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5} \\ &= 2.070 \end{aligned}$$

Coefficient XA per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned} &= L + a \\ &= 23.622 + 11.811 \\ &= 35.433 \text{ in.} \quad [900.000 \text{ mm.}] \end{aligned}$$

Coefficient XB per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned} &= L - a \\ &= 23.622 - 11.811 \\ &= 11.811 \text{ in.} \quad [300.000 \text{ mm.}] \end{aligned}$$

Coefficient XC per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned} &= L \\ &= 23.622 \text{ in.} \quad [600.000 \text{ mm.}] \end{aligned}$$

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

10

Licensee: SPLM Licensee**Job: CAUSTIC SODA****Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:38pm**

Coefficients YF and YL from figure P-4A based on lamda:

$$YF = .960$$

$$YL = 2.100$$

Coefficient YC from figure P-4B based on lamda:

$$YC = 1.600$$

Pressure end load on the opening per P.2.3:

$$= \pi * a^2 * P$$

$$= 3.1415 * 11.811^2 * 7.714$$

$$= 3380.841 \text{ lbf. } [15037.979 \text{ N.}]$$

Radial force nomogram limit values per figure P-3A:

$$= \max[(1 - 0.75)(XA / (Rt)^{0.5}), 0.1]$$

$$= \max[(1 - 0.75)(35.433 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1]$$

$$= .100$$

Longitudinal nomogram limit values per figure P-3A:

$$= \max[(1 - 0.75)(XB / (Rt)^{0.5}), 0.1]$$

$$= \max[(1 - 0.75)(11.811 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1]$$

$$= .100$$

Circumferential moment nomogram limit value per figure P-3B:

$$= \max[(1 - 0.75)(XC / (Rt)^{0.5}), 0.1]$$

$$= \max[(1 - 0.75)(23.622 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1]$$

$$= .100$$

Radial force multiplier per figure P-3A:

$$= \text{lamda} / (2 * YF * FP)$$

$$= 2.070 / (2 * .960 * 3380.841)$$

$$= 0.31892E-03 \text{ 1/lbf. } [0.71700E-04 \text{ 1/N.}]$$

Longitudinal moment multiplier per figure P-3A:

$$= \text{lamda} / (a / 12.0 * YL * FP)$$

$$= 2.070 / (11.811 / 12.0 * 2.100 * 3380.841)$$

$$= 0.29625E-03 \text{ 1/ft.lb. } [0.18209E-04 \text{ 1/N.m.}]$$

Circumferential moment multiplier per figure P-3B:

$$= \text{lamda} / (a * 12.0 * YC * FP)$$

$$= 2.070 / (11.811 * 12.0 * 1.600 * 3380.841)$$

$$= 0.38883E-03 \text{ 1/ft.lb. } [0.23899E-04 \text{ 1/N.m.}]$$

Radial force limit [ML = 0 and MC = 0] per figure P-3A [FRmax]:

$$= \text{Radial force nomogram limit value} / \text{Radial force multp.}$$

$$= .100 / 0.319E-03$$

$$= 0.31356E+03 \text{ lbf. } [0.13947E+04 \text{ N.}]$$

Positive Long. moment limit [MC = 0 and FR = 0] per figure P-3A [MLmax]:

$$= \text{Long. moment nomogram limit value} / \text{Long. moment multiplier}$$

$$= .100 / 0.296E-03$$

$$= 0.33755E+03 \text{ ft.lb. } [0.54919E+04 \text{ N.m.}]$$

Negative Long. moment limit [ML = 0 and MC = 0] per figure P-3A [MLmin]:

$$= - \text{Radial Force nomogram limit value} / \text{Long. moment multiplier}$$

$$= -.100 / 0.296E-03$$

$$= -.33755E+03 \text{ ft.lb. } [-0.54919E+04 \text{ N.m.}]$$

Circ. moment limit [ML = 0 and FR = 0] per figure P-3B [MCmax]:

$$= \text{Circ. moment nomogram limit value} / \text{Circ. moment multiplier}$$

$$= .100 / 0.389E-03$$

$$= 0.25718E+03 \text{ ft.lb. } [0.41843E+04 \text{ N.m.}]$$

Test Case for Nozzle, 1 per Appendix P:**Nozzle stiffness coefficients per P.2.4:**

Outside radius of nozzle [a]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= D / 2 \\
 &= 23.6/2 \\
 &= 11.8 \text{ in. } [600.0 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Nominal tank radius [R]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Nominal tank diameter} / 2 \\
 &= 137.8/2 \\
 &= 68.9 \text{ in. } [3500.0 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

L / 2a coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= L / 2 * a \\
 &= 23.622/2 * 11.811 \\
 &= 1.0
 \end{aligned}$$

As L/2a <= 1.0, use first set of curves.

a / R coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= a / R \\
 &= 11.8/68.898 \\
 &= .171
 \end{aligned}$$

R / t coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= R / t \\
 &= 68.898/.472 \\
 &= 145.8
 \end{aligned}$$

From figure P-2A [KR/E(2a)]:

$$= 0.420\text{E}-03$$

From figure P-2B [KL/E(2a)^3]:

$$= 0.340\text{E}-03$$

From figure P-2C [KC/E(2a)^3]:

$$= 0.300\text{E}-03$$

Stiffness coefficient for the radial thrust load per P.2.9.2.1 [KR]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * 2 * a \\
 &= 0.420\text{E}-03 * 29500000 * 2 * 11.811 \\
 &= 0.29268\text{E}+06 \text{ lbf./in. } [0.51254\text{E}+06 \text{ N./cm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Stiffness coefficient for the longitudinal moment per P.2.9.2.1 [KL]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * (2.0 * a)^3 \\
 &= 0.340\text{E}-03 * 29500000 * (2.0 * 11.811)^3 \\
 &= 0.23074\text{E}+07 \text{ in. lbf./deg } [0.31285\text{E}+07 \text{ N.m./deg}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Stiffness coefficient for the circumferential moment per P.2.9.2.1 [KC]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * (2 * a)^3 \\
 &= 0.300\text{E}-03 * 29500000 * (2 * 11.811)^3 \\
 &= 0.20360\text{E}+07 \text{ in. lbf./deg } [0.27604\text{E}+07 \text{ N.m./deg}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Characteristic parameter per P.2.3 [beta]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1.285 / (Rt)^{0.5} \\
 &= 1.285/(68.898 * .472)^{0.5} \\
 &= 0.22523\text{E}+00 \text{ 1/in. } [0.88674\text{E}-02 \text{ 1/mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Unrestrained radial growth of the shell per P.2.5.1 [W]:

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:38pm

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (0.036 * G * H * R^2) / Et \\
&\quad * [1 - (e^{-(\beta * L)}) * \cos(\beta * L) - (L/H)] \\
&\quad + \alpha * R * \delta [T] \\
&= (0.036 * 1.520 * 164.167 * 68.898^2) / 29500000 * .472 \\
&\quad * [1 - (e^{-(.225 * 23.622)}) * \cos(.225 * 23.622) - (23.622 / 164.17)] \\
&\quad + 0.000E+00 * 68.898 * .000 \\
&= .00261 \text{ in. } [.06632 \text{ mm.}]
\end{aligned}$$

Unrestrained shell rotation of the shell per P.2.5.2 [theta]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (0.036 * G * H * R^2) / E * t \\
&\quad * [(1/H) - (\beta * e^{-(\beta * L)}) \\
&\quad * [\cos(\beta * L) + \sin(\beta * L)]] \\
&= (0.036 * 1.520 * 164.167 * 68.898^2) / 29500000 * .472 \\
&\quad * [(1/164.167) - (.225 * e^{-(.225 * 23.622)}) \\
&\quad * [\cos(.225 * 23.622) + \sin(.225 * 23.622)]] \\
&= 0.11160E-02 \text{ deg}
\end{aligned}$$

Value of lamda per P.2.3 [lamda]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a / (Rt)^{0.5} \\
&= 11.811 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5} \\
&= 2.070
\end{aligned}$$

Coefficient XA per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= L + a \\
&= 23.622 + 11.811 \\
&= 35.433 \text{ in. } [900.000 \text{ mm.}]
\end{aligned}$$

Coefficient XB per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= L - a \\
&= 23.622 - 11.811 \\
&= 11.811 \text{ in. } [300.000 \text{ mm.}]
\end{aligned}$$

Coefficient XC per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= L \\
&= 23.622 \text{ in. } [600.000 \text{ mm.}]
\end{aligned}$$

Coefficients YF and YL from figure P-4A based on lamda:

$$\begin{aligned}
YF &= .960 \\
YL &= 2.100
\end{aligned}$$

Coefficient YC from figure P-4B based on lamda:

$$YC = 1.600$$

Pressure end load on the opening per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \pi * a^2 * P \\
&= 3.1415 * 11.811^2 * 7.714 \\
&= 3380.841 \text{ lbf. } [15037.979 \text{ N.}]
\end{aligned}$$

Radial force nomogram limit values per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max[(1 - 0.75)(XA / (Rt)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
&= \max[(1 - 0.75)(35.433 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
&= .100
\end{aligned}$$

Longitudinal nomogram limit values per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max[(1 - 0.75)(XB / (Rt)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
&= \max[(1 - 0.75)(11.811 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
&= .100
\end{aligned}$$

Circumferential moment nomogram limit value per figure P-3B:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max[(1 - 0.75)(XC / (Rt)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
&= \max[(1 - 0.75)(23.622 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
&= .100
\end{aligned}$$

Radial force multiplier per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda / (2 * YF * FP) \\
 &= 2.070 / (2 * .960 * 3380.841) \\
 &= 0.31892E-03 \text{ 1/lbf. } [0.71700E-04 \text{ 1/N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Longitudinal moment multiplier per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda / (a / 12.0 * YL * FP) \\
 &= 2.070 / (11.811 / 12.0 * 2.100 * 3380.841) \\
 &= 0.29625E-03 \text{ 1/ft.lb. } [0.18209E-04 \text{ 1/N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Circumferential moment multiplier per figure P-3B:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda / (a * 12.0 * YC * FP) \\
 &= 2.070 / (11.811 * 12.0 * 1.600 * 3380.841) \\
 &= 0.38883E-03 \text{ 1/ft.lb. } [0.23899E-04 \text{ 1/N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Radial force limit [ML = 0 and MC = 0] per figure P-3A [FRmax]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Radial force nomogram limit value} / \text{Radial force multp.} \\
 &= .100 / 0.319E-03 \\
 &= 0.31356E+03 \text{ lbf. } [0.13947E+04 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Positive Long. moment limit [MC = 0 and FR = 0] per figure P-3A [MLmax]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Long. moment nomogram limit value} / \text{Long. moment multiplier} \\
 &= .100 / 0.296E-03 \\
 &= 0.33755E+03 \text{ ft.lb. } [0.54919E+04 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Negative Long. moment limit [ML = 0 and MC = 0] per figure P-3A [MLmin]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \text{Radial Force nomogram limit value} / \text{Long. moment multiplier} \\
 &= -.100 / 0.296E-03 \\
 &= -.33755E+03 \text{ ft.lb. } [-0.54919E+04 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Circ. moment limit [ML = 0 and FR = 0] per figure P-3B [MCmax]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Circ. moment nomogram limit value} / \text{Circ. moment multiplier} \\
 &= .100 / 0.389E-03 \\
 &= 0.25718E+03 \text{ ft.lb. } [0.41843E+04 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

User Case for Nozzle, 1 per Appendix P:

Nozzle stiffness coefficients per P.2.4:

Outside radius of nozzle [a]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= D / 2 \\
 &= 23.6 / 2 \\
 &= 11.8 \text{ in. } [600.0 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Nominal tank radius [R]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Nominal tank diameter} / 2 \\
 &= 137.8 / 2 \\
 &= 68.9 \text{ in. } [3500.0 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

L / 2a coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= L / 2 * a \\
 &= 23.622 / 2 * 11.811 \\
 &= 1.0
 \end{aligned}$$

As $L/2a \leq 1.0$, use first set of curves.

a / R coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= a / R \\
 &= 11.8 / 68.898 \\
 &= .171
 \end{aligned}$$

R / t coefficient:

$$= R / t$$

$$= 68.898 / .472$$

$$= 145.8$$

From figure P-2A [KR/E(2a)]:

$$= 0.420E-03$$

From figure P-2B [KL/E(2a)^3]:

$$= 0.340E-03$$

From figure P-2C [KC/E(2a)^3]:

$$= 0.300E-03$$

Stiffness coefficient for the radial thrust load per P.2.9.2.1 [KR]:

$$= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * 2 * a$$

$$= 0.420E-03 * 29500000 * 2 * 11.811$$

$$= 0.29268E+06 \text{ lbf./in. } [0.51254E+06 \text{ N./cm.}]$$

Stiffness coefficient for the longitudinal moment per P.2.9.2.1 [KL]:

$$= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * (2.0 * a)^3$$

$$= 0.340E-03 * 29500000 * (2.0 * 11.811)^3$$

$$= 0.23074E+07 \text{ in. lbf./deg } [0.31285E+07 \text{ N.m./deg}]$$

Stiffness coefficient for the circumferential moment per P.2.9.2.1 [KC]:

$$= \text{Coeff. from graph} * E * (2 * a)^3$$

$$= 0.300E-03 * 29500000 * (2 * 11.811)^3$$

$$= 0.20360E+07 \text{ in. lbf./deg } [0.27604E+07 \text{ N.m./deg}]$$

Characteristic parameter per P.2.3 [beta]:

$$= 1.285 / (Rt)^{0.5}$$

$$= 1.285 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}$$

$$= 0.22523E+00 \text{ 1/in. } [0.88674E-02 \text{ 1/mm.}]$$

Unrestrained radial growth of the shell per P.2.5.1 [W]:

$$= (0.036 * G * H * R^2) / Et$$

$$* [1 - (e^{-(\beta * L)}) * \cos(\beta * L) - (L/H)]$$

$$+ \alpha * R * \Delta T$$

$$= (0.036 * 1.520 * 164.167 * 68.898^2) / 29500000 * .472$$

$$* [1 - (e^{-(.225 * 23.622)}) * \cos(.225 * 23.622) - (23.622 / 164.17)]$$

$$+ 0.000E+00 * 68.898 * .000$$

$$= .00261 \text{ in. } [.06632 \text{ mm.}]$$

Unrestrained shell rotation of the shell per P.2.5.2 [theta]:

$$= (0.036 * G * H * R^2) / E * t$$

$$* [(1/H) - (\beta * e^{-(\beta * L)})$$

$$* [\cos(\beta * L) + \sin(\beta * L)]]$$

$$= (0.036 * 1.520 * 164.167 * 68.898^2) / 29500000 * .472$$

$$* [(1/164.167) - (.225 * e^{-(.225 * 23.622)})$$

$$* [\cos(.225 * 23.622) + \sin(.225 * 23.622)]]$$

$$= 0.11160E-02 \text{ deg}$$

Value of lamda per P.2.3 [lamda]:

$$= a / (Rt)^{0.5}$$

$$= 11.811 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}$$

$$= 2.070$$

Coefficient XA per P.2.3:

$$= L + a$$

$$= 23.622 + 11.811$$

$$= 35.433 \text{ in. } [900.000 \text{ mm.}]$$

Coefficient XB per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= L - a \\
 &= 23.622 - 11.811 \\
 &= 11.811 \text{ in. } [300.000 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Coefficient XC per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= L \\
 &= 23.622 \text{ in. } [600.000 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients YF and YL from figure P-4A based on lamda:

$$\begin{aligned}
 YF &= .960 \\
 YL &= 2.100
 \end{aligned}$$

Coefficient YC from figure P-4B based on lamda:

$$YC = 1.600$$

Pressure end load on the opening per P.2.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= p_i * a^2 * P \\
 &= 3.1415 * 11.811^2 * 7.714 \\
 &= 3380.841 \text{ lbf. } [15037.979 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Radial force nomogram limit values per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max[(1 - 0.75)(X_A / (R_t)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
 &= \max[(1 - 0.75)(35.433 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
 &= .100
 \end{aligned}$$

Longitudinal nomogram limit values per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max[(1 - 0.75)(X_B / (R_t)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
 &= \max[(1 - 0.75)(11.811 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
 &= .100
 \end{aligned}$$

Circumferential moment nomogram limit value per figure P-3B:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max[(1 - 0.75)(X_C / (R_t)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
 &= \max[(1 - 0.75)(23.622 / (68.898 * .472)^{0.5}), 0.1] \\
 &= .100
 \end{aligned}$$

Radial force multiplier per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda / (2 * YF * FP) \\
 &= 2.070 / (2 * .960 * 3380.841) \\
 &= 0.31892E-03 \text{ 1/lbf. } [0.71700E-04 \text{ 1/N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Longitudinal moment multiplier per figure P-3A:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda / (a / 12.0 * YL * FP) \\
 &= 2.070 / (11.811 / 12.0 * 2.100 * 3380.841) \\
 &= 0.29625E-03 \text{ 1/ft.lbf. } [0.18209E-04 \text{ 1/N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Circumferential moment multiplier per figure P-3B:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda / (a * 12.0 * YC * FP) \\
 &= 2.070 / (11.811 * 12.0 * 1.600 * 3380.841) \\
 &= 0.38883E-03 \text{ 1/ft.lbf. } [0.23899E-04 \text{ 1/N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Radial force limit [ML = 0 and MC = 0] per figure P-3A [FRmax]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Radial force nomogram limit value} / \text{Radial force multp.} \\
 &= .100 / 0.319E-03 \\
 &= 0.31356E+03 \text{ lbf. } [0.13947E+04 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Positive Long. moment limit [MC = 0 and FR = 0] per figure P-3A [MLmax]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Long. moment nomogram limit value} / \text{Long. moment multiplier} \\
 &= .100 / 0.296E-03 \\
 &= 0.33755E+03 \text{ ft.lbf. } [0.54919E+04 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

16

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28,2021

Time: 1:38pm

Negative Long. moment limit [ML = 0 and MC = 0] per figure P-3A [MLmin]:

= - Radial Force nomogram limit value / Long. moment multiplier
= -.100/0.296E-03
= -.33755E+03 ft.lb. [-0.54919E+04 N.m.]

Circ. moment limit [ML = 0 and FR = 0] per figure P-3B [MCmax]:

= Circ. moment nomogram limit value / Circ. moment multiplier
= .100/0.389E-03
= 0.25718E+03 ft.lb. [0.41843E+04 N.m.]

TANK(TM) Intergraph CADWorx & Analysis Solutions, Inc. 2017

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28, 2021

Time: 1:38pm

Anchor Chair Cap Input Values:

Thickness of Gusset Plates	j	13.000	mm.
Width of Gussets at Top Plate	twdt	120.000	mm.
Width of Gussets at Base Plate	bwdt	80.000	mm.
Height of Gussets	hg	150.000	mm.
Height of Gussets plus top Plate thickness	h	163.000	mm.
Distance between Gussets	g	120.000	mm.
Dist. from Bolt Center to Gusset (Rg/2)	cg	60.000	mm.
External Corrosion Allowance	Ca	0.0000	mm.
Top Plate allowable stress	S	250000.0	KPa
Number of Gussets per bolt	ng	2	
Bottom Shell Course Thickness		6.000	mm.
Shell Course Corrosion Allowance		0.0000	mm.
Thickness of Tank Baseplate	m	6.000	mm.
Thickness of Top Plate	c	13.000	mm.
Radial Width of the Top Plate	b	120.000	mm.
Circumferential Width of the Top Plate	a	220.000	mm.
Anchor Bolt Diameter	d	31.750	mm.

Anchor Chair Cap and Gusset Plate Analysis Results:

Required Thickness of Top Chair Cap Plate per AISI:

P	Bolt Load
e	Bolt eccentricity (center of bolt to shell OD)
Sb	Allowable Bending Stress (1.5 * S)
g	Distance between Gussets
d	Bolt Diameter
f	Distance from Edge of Hole to Tip of Top Plate

Top Chair Cap Plate Required Thickness per AISI [Tc]:

$$= (P / (Sb * f)) * (0.375 * g - 0.22 * d)^{1/2} + c$$

$$= (9134 / (374999 * 107.125)) * (0.375 * 120.00 - 0.22 * 31.750)^{1/2} + 0.000$$

$$= 2.9401 \text{ mm.}$$

Stress in the Top Plate at given Thickness [Stp]:

$$= P (0.375 * b - 0.22 * d) / f / (c - Ca)^2$$

$$= 9134 (0.375 * 120.000 - 0.22 * 31.750) / 107.125 / (13.000 - 0.000)^2$$

$$= 19180.9 \text{ KPa, must be less than } 375000.0 \text{ KPa}$$

Required Gusset Thickness per AISI:

Gusset Plate Thickness is the greater of(0.5 in (12mm), 0.04(hg))

$$= \max(12.700, 0.04(150.000)) + Ca$$

$$= 12.700 \text{ mm.}$$

For gusset plates the following must also be true [English Units]:

Gusset Thickness * Average Gusset Width >= (P/1000)/25

$$= (.512 - .000) * 3.937 > (2053.595 / 1000) / 25 \text{) Passed}$$

$$= 2.015 > .082 \text{ Passed}$$

Local Stress at the Top Plate per AISI, including axial Stress [S]:

$$= P * e / t^2 [1.32 * Z / (1.43 * a * h^2 / (R * t)$$

$$+ (4(a)(h)^2)^{.333} + 0.031 / (R * t)^{1/2}$$

$$= 9134 * -3.00 / 6.00^2 [1.32 * .92 / (1.43 * 220.00 * (163.00)^2 / (1750.00 * 6.00)$$

$$+ (4 * 220.00 (163.00)^2)^{.333} + 0.031 / (1750.00 * 6.00)^{1/2}$$

$$= -1082.5 \text{ KPa}$$

Intermediate Value [Z]:

$$= 1 / [(0.177 * a * m / (R * t)^{1/2}) * (m / t)^2 + 1]$$

$$= 1 / [(0.177 * 220.000 * 6.000 / (1750.000 * 6.000)^{1/2}) * (6.000 / 6.000)^2 + 1]$$

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

18

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28,2021

Time: 1:38pm

= .918

Radial Distance [e]:

= (Dc - Ds) / 2

= (3494.000 - 3500.000)/2

= -3.000 mm.

TANK(TM) Intergraph CADWorx & Analysis Solutions, Inc. 2017

Determine Maximum allowed Fluid Heights:For Course # 1, Operating Case

Allowed fluid height above this course based on the 1ft method

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (T_d - c_a)S_d / (2.6 * D * G) + X/12 \\
 &= (.2362 - .0000)20000.00 / (2.6 * 11.5 * 1.520) + 12.000/12 \\
 &= 105.106 \text{ ft. } [32.036 \text{ m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

For Course # 1, Hydro Case, not corroded

Allowed fluid height above this course based on the 1ft method

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (T_d - c_a)S_d / (2.6 * D * G) + X/12 \\
 &= (.2362 - .0000)22500.00 / (2.6 * 11.5 * 1.000) + 12.000/12 \\
 &= 179.022 \text{ ft. } [54.566 \text{ m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

For Course # 2, Operating Case

Allowed fluid height above this course based on the 1ft method

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (T_d - c_a)S_d / (2.6 * D * G) + X/12 \\
 &= (.2362 - .0000)20000.00 / (2.6 * 11.5 * 1.520) + 12.000/12 \\
 &= 105.106 \text{ ft. } [32.036 \text{ m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

For Course # 2, Hydro Case, not corroded

Allowed fluid height above this course based on the 1ft method

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (T_d - c_a)S_d / (2.6 * D * G) + X/12 \\
 &= (.2362 - .0000)22500.00 / (2.6 * 11.5 * 1.000) + 12.000/12 \\
 &= 179.022 \text{ ft. } [54.566 \text{ m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Appendix M Yield Stress reduction factor, M.3.6 [Mfact]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Yield Reduction Factor} * F_y / F_{yamb} \\
 &= 1.000 * 30000.0 / 30000.0 \\
 &= 1.000 \text{ Must be less than or equal to } 1.0
 \end{aligned}$$

Balanced Design Snow Load [Sb]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 0.84 * S \\
 &= 0.84 * .000 \\
 &= .000 \text{ psf}
 \end{aligned}$$

Unbalanced Design Snow Load [Su]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= S_b \\
 &= .000 \\
 &= .000 \text{ psf}
 \end{aligned}$$

Total Design External Pressure Loading per 5.10.5.1 [Pr]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max[DL + (L_r \text{ or } S_b) + F_{pe} * P_e, DL + P_e + 0.4(L_r \text{ or } S_b)] \\
 &= \max[9.647 + \max[.000, .000] + .400 * .000, \\
 &\quad 9.647 + .000 + 0.4 * \max[.000, .000]] \\
 &= \max[9.647, 9.647] \\
 &= 9.647 \text{ psf } [461.892 \text{ N/M}^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Wind Load Calculations:

Wind velocity factor 5.2.1 [Vfact]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\text{Wind Velocity} / 120)^2 \\
 &= (73.8/120)^2 \\
 &= .378
 \end{aligned}$$

Vertical projected wind area of tank [VArea]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Tank Height} * \text{Insulated Tank Diameter} \\
 &= 14.76 * 11.48 \\
 &= 169.532 \text{ ft}^2 \quad [15.750 \text{ m}^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Velocity pressure per 5.9.7.1 [p]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 0.00256 * K_z * K_{zt} * k_d * V^2 * I * G + 5.0 \\
 &= 0.00256 * 1.040 * 1.000 * .950 * 73.819^2 * 1.000 * .850 + 5.0 \\
 &= 16.715 \text{ psf} \quad [800.327 \text{ N/M}^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Wind moment on shell [Moment]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Wind Pressure} * \text{Wind Area} * \text{Tank Height}/2 \\
 &= 16.715 * 169.5 * 14.76/2 \\
 &= 0.20919\text{E}+05 \text{ ft.lb.} \quad [0.28362\text{E}+05 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Vertical projected wind area of Cone roof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Roof Height} * \text{Roof triangular base} \\
 &= 1.01 * 5.74 \\
 &= 5.813 \text{ ft}^2 \quad [.540 \text{ m}^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Area Exposed to Wind:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Wind area of tank} + \text{Wind area of roof} \\
 &= 169.532 + 5.813 \\
 &= 175.344 \text{ ft}^2 \quad [16.290 \text{ m}^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Wind moment at the base including the cone roof [Total Moment]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Moment} + (\text{Wind P} * \text{Roof Area} (\text{Tank Height} + \text{Roof Height}/3)) \\
 &= 0.209\text{E}+05 + (16.715 * 5.8 (14.8 + 1.01/3)) \\
 &= 0.22386\text{E}+05 \text{ ft.lb.} \quad [0.30351\text{E}+05 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Wind shear force acting on the tank:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Wind Pressure} * (\text{tank area} + \text{roof area}) \\
 &= 16.715 * 175.3 \\
 &= 0.29309\text{E}+04 \text{ lbf.} \quad [0.13037\text{E}+05 \text{ N.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Moment about shell-to-bottom joint [MDL]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\text{Shell Weight} + \text{Attachment Weight} + \text{Framing Weight} * \%) D/2 \\
 &= (5137.9 + .0 + .0 * 1.00) 11.5/2 \\
 &= 29499.1 \text{ ft.lb} \quad [39995.5 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Resisting Weight of Fluid [WL]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min(5.6 * t_b * \sqrt{F_{by} * H * \min(0.7, G)}, 0.45 * H * D) \\
 &= \min(5.6 * .2362 * \sqrt{38000.0 * 13.68 * \min(0.7, 1.520)}, 0.45 * 13.7 * 11.48) \\
 &= \min(798.0, 70.7) \\
 &= 70.7 \text{ lbf/ft} \quad [1.0 \text{ N./mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Moment from Fluid Weight [MF]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= WL * (\text{PI} * D) * (D / 2) \\
 &= 70.7 * (3.1416 * 11.48) * (11.48/2) \\
 &= 14641.9 \text{ ft.lb} \quad [19851.7 \text{ N.m.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Moment from Design Internal Pressure [MPI]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{Design Pressure} * \text{pi} (\text{Diameter in inches} / 2)^2 * (D / 2) \\
 &= 1.0153 * 3.1416 (137.80/2)^2 * (11.48/2)
 \end{aligned}$$

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

21

Licensee: SPLM Licensee

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:38pm

$$= 86930.2 \text{ ft.lb} \quad [117861.6 \text{ N.m.}]$$

Design Pressure per F.4.1 [P]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (0.962 * A * F_y * M_{\text{fact}} * \tan(\theta)) / (D^2) + 0.245 * DLR / D^2 \\ &= (0.962 * .775 * 30000.0 * 1.000 * \tan(10.00)) / (11.5^2) + 0.245 * 1014 / 11.5^2 \\ &= 31.795 \text{ in. of water} \quad [807.582 \text{ mm. of water}] \\ &= 1.148 \text{ psi} \quad [7.916 \text{ KPa}] \end{aligned}$$

Note: Design Pressure was less than maximum computed per F.4.1

Moment about shell-to-bottom joint [MWS]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= P_{ws} * D * H^2 / 2 \\ &= 18 * V_{\text{fact}} * (D + \text{Insulation}) * H^2 / 2 \\ &= 18 * .3784 (11.5 + .0) * 14.8^2 / 2 \\ &= 8524.4 \text{ ft.lb} \quad [11557.5 \text{ N.m.}] \end{aligned}$$

Moment about shell-to-bottom joint [MW]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= MWS + \text{Wind Uplift} * V_{\text{Area}} * D / 2 \\ &= 8524.4 + .0788 * 14912.8 * 11.5 / 2 \\ &= 15274.5 \text{ ft.lb} \quad [20709.5 \text{ N.m.}] \end{aligned}$$

Moment about shell-to-bottom joint [MDLR]:

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\text{Roof Weight}) D / 2 \\ &= (1014.4) 11.5 / 2 \\ &= 5824.4 \text{ ft.lb} \quad [7896.9 \text{ N.m.}] \end{aligned}$$

Warning: The following API 650 Section 5.11.2.1 criteria were not met:

- 1) $0.6MW + MPI < MDL/1.5 + MDLR$
- 2) $MW + FP(MPI) < (MDL + MF)/2 + MDLR$
- 3) $MWS + FP(MPI) < MDL/1.5 + MDLR$

Note: Anchorage is required for this design.

TANK(TM) Intergraph CADWorx & Analysis Solutions, Inc. 2017

Determine required thickness per the selected Method:

Shell Course Thickness per the One Foot Method:

Required Thickness for Course # 1

Required thickness based on the 1ft method per 5.6.3.2, Operating [td]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2.6D(H - 1)G/Sd \\
 &= 2.6 * 11.483 (13.68 - 1)1.520/20000.0 \\
 &= .029 \text{ in. } [.731 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Minimum thickness per 5.6.1.1 : = .236 in. [6.000 mm.]

Final Selected Thickness including corrosion and other allowances:

$$= .23622 \text{ in. } [6.00000 \text{ mm.}]$$

Required thickness per 5.6.3.2, Hydrotest [tt]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2.6D(H - 1)/St \\
 &= 2.6 * 11.483 (13.68 - 1)/22500.0 \\
 &= .01683 \text{ in. } [.42738 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Minimum thickness per 5.6.1.1 : = .236 in. [6.000 mm.]

Final Selected Thickness including other Code allowances:

$$= .23622 \text{ in. } [6.00000 \text{ mm.}]$$

Required Thickness for Course # 2

Required thickness based on the 1ft method per 5.6.3.2, Operating [td]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2.6D(H - 1)G/Sd \\
 &= 2.6 * 11.483 (6.30 - 1)1.520/20000.0 \\
 &= .012 \text{ in. } [.305 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Minimum thickness per 5.6.1.1 : = .197 in. [5.000 mm.]

Final Selected Thickness including corrosion and other allowances:

$$= .19685 \text{ in. } [5.00000 \text{ mm.}]$$

Required thickness per 5.6.3.2, Hydrotest [tt]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2.6D(H - 1)/St \\
 &= 2.6 * 11.483 (6.30 - 1)/22500.0 \\
 &= .00703 \text{ in. } [.17859 \text{ mm.}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Minimum thickness per 5.6.1.1 : = .197 in. [5.000 mm.]

Final Selected Thickness including other Code allowances:

$$= .19685 \text{ in. } [5.00000 \text{ mm.}]$$

TANK 2017 SP1, API-650/653/620/579 Analysis

23

Licensee:

Job: CAUSTIC SODA

Date: May 28, 2021

Time: 1:38pm

INPUT VALUES, Damage Description: Flaw#:[1 of 1] Shell Course : 1

Inside Diameter	Di	3500.000	mm.
Thickness	tnom	6.000	mm.
Design Fill Height	H	3.700	m.
Design Temperature	T	35	°C
Allowable Stress, Design	S	137892.000	KPa
Allowable Stress, Ambient	Sa	137892.000	KPa
Longitudinal Joint Efficiency	EL	0.85	
Circumferential Joint Efficiency	EC	0.85	
Specific Gravity	G	1.52	
Flaw Type	General Metal Loss (Part 4)		
Assessment Level		Level 1	
Future Corrosion Allowance	FCaml	0.000	mm.
Allowable Remaining Strength Factor	RSFa	0.90	

Measurement Method	Point Thickness Readings
Number of Rows	2
Layout Angle	0.00 deg.
Rlife MFH Approach included?	No

WARNING: A minimum of 15 thickness readings should be used unless extensive nondestruction examination has been utilized.

ERROR: The minimum measured thickness is either zero or negative. Please check your thickness measurements for accuracy.

TANK(TM) Intergraph CADWorx & Analysis Solutions, Inc. 2017

TANK(TM) Intergraph CADWorx & Analysis Solutions, Inc. 2017